



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,704	08/05/2003	Robert L. McDonnell	03063	6744	
23338	7590 03/01/2005		EXAMINER		
DENNISON, SCHULTZ, DOUGHERTY & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET			PAYER, HWE	· PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU	
SUITE 105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3724		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/633,704	MCDONNELL, ROBERT L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hwei-Siu C. Payer	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>5 August 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau		d III tiils National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	d.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Description of Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Description of Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Description of Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Detailed Action

The preliminary amendment filed on 8-5-2003 has been entered.

Objection to the Drawings

The drawings are objected to because in Figs.7 and 8, "122" has not been described in the specification.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,704

Art Unit: 3724

Page 3

Objection to the Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) On page 3, lines 9-10, line II-II is not found in Figure 1.
- (2) On page 5, line 7, "35" is not found in any drawings.
- (3) On page 6, lines 19 and 20, "112" is not found in any drawings.
- (4) On page 7, line 21, "125" should read --123--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Objection

Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) In claim 1, line 5, "said cutting surface" should read --said cutting portion--.
- (2) In claim 1, line 8, "said first axis of rotation" should read --said first axis of revolution--. Note line 6 of the claim.
 - (3) In claim 3, line 1, "saw blade" should read --saw--.
 - (4) In claim 6, line 4, "frusto-conical driver" should read --a frusto-conical driver--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 7-9, "a rotatable shaft rotatable about a second axis of rotaton which is generally transverse of said first axis of rotation but angularly offset by about 30° " is misleading. As disclosed and shown in the drawings, it is the angle portion 40 (see Fig.5) of the mounting member that is angled at an angle of about 30° (see page 6 of the specification), the rotation axis of the rotatable shaft is not angularly offset by about 30° as now claimed.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 2. Claims 6-8 are objected to but would be allowable if amended to overcome the objection as set forth.

Prior Art Citations

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Newton, Chase, Santilli, Allison, Pace, Hamilton, Johansson, Nilsson et al. and McDonnell are cited as art of interest.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,704

Art Unit: 3724

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-

4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to

4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306

for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer

February 22, 2005

It I Paylor

Page 5

Hwei-Siu Payo: Primary Examiner